

QUALITY ASSURANCE/QUALITY CONTROL					
SM 4020 – 2011 (As published in SM 22 <sup>nd</sup> Edition)					
Facility Name: _____			LAB ID: _____		
Assessor Name: _____			Inspection Date: _____		
Relevant Aspect of Standards	Method Reference	Y	N	N/A	Comments
Interviews may omit MDL questions if ALL of the following are TRUE:					
TRUE false This analyte/method/matrix combination has been reviewed at a previous on-site assessment by VELAP.					
TRUE false The laboratory NEVER reports a result generated below the lowest calibration standard.					
TRUE false The laboratory's scope of accreditation does not include drinking water as a matrix for this method/analyte.					
TRUE false The laboratory's customer report does not state the laboratory's MDL.					
TRUE false The laboratory has provided documentation of annual Limit of Quantitation verification (or more frequent if required by method).					
(1) If acceptance criteria for a laboratory fortified blank used for the Initial Demonstration of Capability were not specified in the test method, were initial recovery limits calculated as follows: Initial Recovery Limits = Mean $\pm$ (5.84 x Standard Deviation) NOTE: Determination of acceptance criteria using this formula is not applicable when LFB is not used (ex: oxygen and pH) (See 4020:I).	SM4040.B.1.a				
(2) Before analyzing samples was the Method Detection Limit (MDL) determined for each analyte in each matrix?	SM4020.B.1.b				
(3) Were MDLs determined by analyzing a QC sample subjected to all preparation steps?	SM4020.B.1.b				
(4) Were MDLs verified at least annually? NOTE: Annual verification for drinking water matrix is required. Annual verification is not required for other matrices when test results are not reported outside of the calibration range (2003 NELAC Chapter 5 Appendix D.1.2.1).	SM4020.B.1.b				
(5) Was quantitation at the Minimum Reporting Level (MRL, also called LOQ) verified initially and at least quarterly ("preferably" daily) by analyzing a QC sample (subjected to all sample preparation steps) spiked at a level 1 to 2 times the MRL?	SM4020.B.1.c				
Notes/Comments:					

QUALITY ASSURANCE/QUALITY CONTROL					
SM 4020 – 2011 (As published in SM 22 <sup>nd</sup> Edition)					
Relevant Aspect of Standards	Method Reference	Y	N	N/A	Comments
(6) Is MRL verification limit documented in the QA documentation?	SM4020.B.1.c				
(7) Did the initial calibration include at least 1 blank and 3 calibration standards (one standard should be $\leq$ MRL)?	SM4020.B.2.a				
(8) If a second-order (quadratic) fit was used, were 1 blank and at least 5 standards (one standard should be $\leq$ MRL) used?	SM4020.B.2.a				
(9) Were correlation coefficients for standard concentration-to-instrument response $\geq 0.995$ ? ("should be")	SM4020.B.2.a				
(10) Was each calibration point back calculated to verify the instrument value was within documented acceptance criteria?	SM4020.B.2.a				
(11) Were initial calibrations performed <b>daily</b> or at the beginning of each new batch of samples unless method permits calibration verification between batches?	SM4020.B.2.a				
(12) If the LFB is not prepared from a second source to confirm method accuracy, did the laboratory verify the accuracy of its standard preparation by analyzing a mid-level second-source calibration standard whenever a new initial calibration curve is prepared?	SM4020.B.2.b				
(13) Was the second source calibration verification within 15% unless otherwise specified in a method?	SM4020.B.2.b				
(14) Were calibrations verified during a run by periodically analyzing a same source standard with results agreeing within $\pm 10\%$ ?	SM4020.B.2.b				
(15) Was the concentration of the calibration verification standards varied over the calibration range to determine detector response? ("should")	SM4020.B.2.b				
Notes/Comments:					

<b>QUALITY ASSURANCE/QUALITY CONTROL</b> <b>SM 4020 – 2011</b> <i>(As published in SM 22<sup>nd</sup> Edition)</i>					
Relevant Aspect of Standards	Method Reference	Y	N	N/A	Comments
(16) Were calibration blank results not greater than one-half the reporting level unless the method specifies otherwise?	SM4020.B.2.b				
(17) If calibration verification fails, does the laboratory: <input type="checkbox"/> immediately cease analyzing samples and initiate corrective action? <input type="checkbox"/> then re-analyze the calibration standard and blank? <input type="checkbox"/> if re-analysis passes, continue analyses? <input type="checkbox"/> if re-analysis fails, repeat initial calibration and re-analyze samples run since the last acceptable calibration verification?	SM4020.B.2.b				
(18) For LFB and LFM were percent recoveries plotted on control charts to determine control limits for these measurements unless specific limits are in the method?	SM4020.B.2.e				
(19) For duplicates, were control charts plotted and control limits determined when the method does not specify acceptance criteria?	SM4020.B.2.f				
(20) Was at least one Laboratory Fortified Matrix or one Laboratory Fortified Matrix/Laboratory Fortified Matrix Duplicate (LFM/LFMD) prepared with each preparation batch of 20 or fewer samples unless specified otherwise in the method?  Exceptions: For all SM4500-CO <sub>2</sub> , all SM4500-Cl (TRC), SM4500-H <sup>+</sup> , SM4500-NO <sub>3</sub> -B, and all SM-4500-O (DO) methods were duplicates of the sample analyzed?	SM4020.B.2.g				
<b>Refer to Table 4020:I. Minimum Quality Control for Methods in Part 4000</b>					
Notes/Comments:					